

**REMARKS**

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated December 21, 2005.

The Examiner has objected to the abstract of the disclosure. Further, the Examiner has objected to the disclosure because of informalities. The disclosure and the abstract of the disclosure have been amended to overcome the objections.

Moreover, the Examiner has objected claims 1-7 because of informalities. Furthermore, the Examiner has stated that claims 1-7 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objections, set forth in this Office action. Claims 1-7 have been canceled and replaced with new claims 8-13 which are rewritten from original claims 1-6 as proposed by the Examiner in order to overcome the objections.

The applicant has reviewed the prior art as cited by the Examiner but not used in the rejection and believes that the new claims clearly and distinctly patentably define over such prior art.

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition of allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Signature

Leong C. Lei

Registration No. 50402

February 21, 2006